

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

10/785,024 02/25/2004 Jerry L. Blevins A-7014.CIP 9026

7590

12/08/2004

Christopher J. McDonald, Esq. HOFFMAN, WASSON & GITLER, PC Suite 522 - Crystal Center 2 2461 South Clark Street Arlington, VA 22202 EXAMINER MAYO, TARA L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3671

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/785,024	BLEVINS, JERRY L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tara L. Mayo	3671
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioder a reliver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution and the second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•	
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 9-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6-8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected or by \square objected of drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objection is	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s)	<u> </u>	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/29/04. 	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/785,024 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains a minor grammatical error. On line 2, delete "made" and insert therefor --may--. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1, 4, 5 and 9 through 11 are objected to because of the following informalities: minor grammatical errors, and lack of antecedent basis.

In claim 1 on line 2, change "support" (second occurrence) to --supporting--. Repeat the correction for claim 9 on line 2.

In claim 1 on line 6, change "patent" to --patient--. Repeat the correction for claim 9 on line 6.

In claim 4 on line 2, change "base" to --bases--. Repeat the correction for claim 5 on line 2.

In claim 10 on line 2, the "L-shaped arm" lacks proper antecedent basis. On line 1, delete "6" and insert therefor --9--. Repeat the correction for claim 11 on line 1.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1 through 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Brandorff et al. '761, as seen in Figures 1A and 8, shows a patient lifter comprising:

with regard to claim 1,

a patient support (100) for supporting a patient having a top surface, a bottom surface, a first side edge, a second side edge, a top edge and a bottom edge, the distance between the top and bottom edges defining a first width;

a support frame for supporting said patient support, said support frame attached to said first side edge and comprising at least one post (40) and a cross member (26); and

a pair of bases (left and right elements 22 & 27) extending outwardly from said support frame and engaging the ground, said pair of bases comprising a first base having a first end attached to said support frame and a second end spaced from said support frame and a second base having a first end attached to said support base and a second end spaced from said support frame;

wherein said pair of bases have a first position with said first and second bases extending from said support frame toward said second side edge, said second ends of said first and second bases spaced from each other by a second width smaller than said first width and a second position wherein said second ends of said first and second bases are spaced from each other by a third width (as seen in Figure 8) greater than said first width; with regard to claim 2,

Application/Control Number: 10/785,024

Art Unit: 3671

wherein said support frame comprises a pair of posts (left and right elements 21), said cross member extending between said pair of posts;

with regard to claim 3,

wherein said first base and said second base are parallel in the first position; with regard to claim 4,

wherein said first and second bases are pivotally connected to said support frame; and with regard to claim 5,

wherein said first and second bases are rigidly connected to said support frame (via elements 36L & 36R).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 9 through 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaal et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,939,801) and Nanhara (U.S. Patent No. 6,691,345 B2).

Schaal et al. '801, as seen in Figure 1, shows a patient lifter (10) comprising: with regard to claim 9,

Application/Control Number: 10/785,024

Art Unit: 3671

a patient support (20, 22) for supporting a patient having a top surface, a bottom surface, a first side edge, a second side edge, a top edge and a bottom edge, the distance between the top and bottom edges defining a first width; and

a support frame for supporting said patient support, said support frame attached to said first side edge and comprising at least one post (30) and a cross member (14).

Schaal et al. '801 disclose all of the features of the claimed invention with the exception(s) of:

with regard to claim 9,

a railing extending upward from the second side edge; and

at least one L-shaped arm having one end connected to the railing and the other end pivotally connected to the bottom surface of the support surface;

with regard to claim 10,

the at least one L-shaped arm including a first section and a second section pivotally connected together; and

with regard to claim 11,

two L-shaped arms.

Nanahara '345, as seen in Figure 1, shows a lift mechanism comprising railings (113) and two L-shaped arm (108) having one end connected to the railing and the other end pivotally connected to the bottom surface of a support surface (103), wherein each L-shaped arm includes a first section (110) and a second section (111) pivotally connected together.

With regard to claims 9 through 11, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device shown by Schaal et al. '801 such that it would include railings and L-shaped arms as taught by Nanahara '345. The motivation would have been to provide the device with a means for positioning a patient on the support surface.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 6 through 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tara L. Mayo whose telephone number is 703-305-3019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,024 Page 7

Art Unit: 3671

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tlm

02 December 2004

ROBERT E. PEZZUTO